arXiv:1409.8423v1 [math.NT] 30 Sep 2014

RATIONAL POINTS ON DIAGONAL CUBIC SURFACES

KAZUKI SATO

Abstract. We show under the assumption that the Tate-Shafarevich group of any elliptic curve over $\mathbb{Q}$ is finite that the cubic surface $x_1^3+p_1p_2x_2^3+p_2p_3x_3^3+p_3p_1x_4^3=0$ over $\mathbb{Q}$ has a rational point, where p_1, p_2 and p_3 are rational primes congruent to 2 or 5 modulo 9.

0. Introduction

Let V be the smooth projective cubic surface over $\mathbb{Q}$ defined by

$$a_1x_1^3+a_2x_2^3+a_3x_3^3+a_4x_4^3=0,$$

where a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4 are non-zero integers. It is natural to ask when V has a $\mathbb{Q}$-rational point. If V has a $\mathbb{Q}$-rational point, then obviously V has a $\mathbb{Q}_p$-rational point for every prime p. We say that the Hasse principle holds if the converse is also true. Selmer [6] showed that if one of the ratios a_1a_2/a_3a_4, a_1a_3/a_2a_4, a_1a_4/a_2a_3 is in $(\mathbb{Q}^*)^3$ then the Hasse principle holds for V. However the Hasse principle does not hold in general for diagonal cubic surfaces. The first counterexample

$$5x_1^3+9x_2^3+10x_3^3+12x_4^3=0$$

was found by Cassels and Guy [3]. After that Colliot-Thélène, Kanevsky, and Sansuc [4] gave a lot of counterexamples by calculating the Brauer-Manin obstruction, and showed that if $0<a_i<100$ then counterexamples to the Hasse principle are explained by the Brauer-Manin obstruction. It is conjectured that the Brauer-Manin obstruction is the only obstruction to the Hasse principle for smooth cubic surfaces (see, for example, [7] for the Brauer-Manin obstruction).

As for the existence of $\mathbb{Q}$-rational points on V, the remarkable papers are [1], [5], and [9]. They are based on the following simple idea; if we can find a non-zero integer B such that each of the curves

$$a_1x_1^3+a_2x_2^3=Bx_0^3,\quad a_3x_3^3+a_4x_4^3=Bx_0^3$$

has a $\mathbb{Q}$-rational point, then so does V. In order to get a $\mathbb{Q}$-rational point on each of the curves, it is sufficient to show first that these have a $\mathbb{Q}_p$-rational point for all p, and second that the Hasse principle holds. Under the assumption that the Tate-Shafarevich group of the elliptic curve of the form

$$x^3+y^3=Az^3 \tag{1}$$

(the Jacobian of the above curve) is finite, the above strategy works well. In [5], one has to assume that the Selmer conjecture holds instead of the finiteness of the Tate-Shafarevich group.

Date: October 1, 2014.
2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11D25,14G05.
Key words and phrases. cubic surface, rational point, Selmer group.

Swinnerton-Dyer [9] proved that if V has a $\mathbb{Q}_p$-rational point for any p and the Tate-Shafarevich group of any elliptic curve (1) over any quadratic field is finite, then certain local conditions for the coefficients of V is sufficient for the existence of $\mathbb{Q}$-rational points, which generalizes [1] (see [9] or section 3 for the precise statement). In his proof, a descent argument in [1] plays an important role.

In this paper, we prove the following theorem with the use of Lemma 1.1.

Theorem 0.1 (Theorem 2.8)**.** *Let p_1, p_2, p_3 be rational primes such that $p_1, p_2, p_3 \equiv 2, 5 \bmod 9$, and*

$$V : x_1^3 + p_1p_2x_2^3 + p_2p_3x_3^3 + p_3p_1x_4^3 = 0$$

a cubic surface over $\mathbb{Q}$. Assume that the Tate-Shafarevich group of any elliptic curve over $\mathbb{Q}$ is finite. Then $V(\mathbb{Q}) \neq \emptyset$.

Remark 0.2. i) We see easily that V has a $\mathbb{Q}_p$-rational point for any prime p.

ii) V is birationally equivalent to a plane over $\mathbb{Q}_{p_i}$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$, but not over $\mathbb{Q}_3$. Hence it follows from [4, Proposition 2] that the Brauer-Manin obstruction to the Hasse principle is empty.

iii) We cannot apply [9, Theorem 3] to this case (see Remark 3.6 for the details).

iv) We do not need to assume the finiteness of the Tate-Shafarevich groups of all elliptic curves (Remark 2.9). For example, it is sufficient to assume that the Tate-Shafarevich group of the elliptic curve

$$x^3 + y^3 = p_1p_2p_3^2z^3$$

over $\mathbb{Q}$ is finite if $(p_1, p_2, p_3) \equiv (2, 2, 5)$ or $(5, 5, 2) \bmod 9$.

We give an overview of this paper. In section 1, we recall briefly the argument on descent developed by Basile and Fisher and introduce some notations, which are used throughout this paper. For the details of section 1, see [1]. In section 2, we calculate explicitly the $\sqrt{-3}$-Selmer group $S(A)$ (see section 1 for the definition) for a cube free integer $A \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0, \pm 1\}$, and prove Theorem 2.8. In section 3, we give some sufficient conditions for the diagonal cubic surface V to have a $\mathbb{Q}$-rational point (Theorem 3.5), which is an analog of [9, Theorem 1]. Our proof is based on [9, Theorem 3]. It turns out in Remark 3.6 that Theorem 3.5 (hence [9, Theorem 3]) does not imply Theorem 2.8.

1. Descent on a Elliptic Curve

Let $\zeta_3 \in \bar{\mathbb{Q}}$ be a fixed primitive cube root of unity and $k = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_3)$. For a cube free integer $A \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0, \pm 1\}$, E_A denotes the elliptic curve defined by

$$E_A : x^3 + y^3 = Az^3$$

with identity $O = (1, -1, 0)$. E_A admits complex multiplication, so that $\mathrm{End}_k(E_A) = \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_3]$. In fact, ζ_3 acts on E_A by $(x, y, z) \mapsto (x, y, \zeta_3 z)$, and the multiplication-by-$\sqrt{-3}$ endomorphism on E_A is given by

$$\sqrt{-3} : (x, y, z) \mapsto (\zeta_3x^3 - \zeta_3^2y^3, \zeta_3y^3 - \zeta_3^2x^3, (\zeta_3 - \zeta_3^2)xyz).$$

Note that the group $E_A(\bar{k})[\sqrt{-3}]$ of $\sqrt{-3}$-torsion points is isomorphic to the group μ_3 of cube roots of unity as a $\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/k)$-module. By Kummer theory, we have the short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow E_A(k)/\sqrt{-3}E_A(k) \longrightarrow H^1(k, E_A[\sqrt{-3}]) \xrightarrow{f} H^1(k, E_A)[\sqrt{-3}] \longrightarrow 0.$$

With the identification $H^1(k, E_A[\sqrt{-3}]) \cong k^*/(k^*)^3$, for $\alpha \in k^*$, the image of $\alpha(k^*)^3$ under the above map f is the class of the k-torsor

$$C_{A,\alpha} : \alpha x^3 + \alpha^{-1} y^3 = Az^3$$

under E_A. We denote by $S(A)$ the $\sqrt{-3}$-Slemer group $S^{(\sqrt{-3})}(E_A/k)$, which is defined by

$$S(A) = \ker[H^1(k, E_A[\sqrt{-3}]) \longrightarrow H^1(k, E_A) \longrightarrow \prod_v H^1(k_v, E_A)],$$

where v runs over all places of k. Then $S(A)$ consists of $\alpha(k^*)^3 \in k^*/(k^*)^3$ for which the corresponding curve $C_{A,\alpha}$ has a k_v-rational point for all v. We denote by $C(A)$ the subgroup of $S(A)$ consisting of elements which lies in the kernel of f. By definition, $\alpha(k^*)^3 \in C(A)$ corresponds to the curve $C_{A,\alpha}$ having a k-rational point, and we have the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow C(A) \longrightarrow S(A) \longrightarrow Ш(E_A/k)[\sqrt{-3}] \longrightarrow 0,$$

where $Ш(E_A/k)$ is the Tate-Shafarevich group of E_A over k. We note that $C(A)$ contains a nontrivial element $A(k^*)^3$ since $C_{A,A}$ has a point $(1, 0, 1)$. Then Basile and Fisher showed the following lemma.

Lemma 1.1 ([1])**.** *Notations are the same as above. Assume that the Tate-Shafarevich group* $Ш(E_A/\mathbb{Q})$ *of* E_A *over* $\mathbb{Q}$ *is finite. If the order of* $S(A)$ *is* 9*, then* $C(A) = S(A)$.

2. Calculation of Selmer groups

Let $A \in \mathbb{Z}\setminus\{0, \pm 1\}$ be a cube free integer. In this section we calculate the Selmer group $S(A)$ for some A. According to the previous section, we regard $S(A)$ as a subgroup of $k^*/(k^*)^3$. For $\alpha \in k^*$ our task is to determine the condition for $C_{A,\alpha}$ to have a k_v-rational point for all places v. In the following, we identify an element of k^* with its image in $k^*/(k^*)^3$. For a prime element q in $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_3]$, denote by k_q the completion of k for the topology defined by the (q)-adic valuation.

Lemma 2.1. *Let* $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_3]$ *be a non-zero cube free integer. If* $q|\alpha$ *is a prime in* $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_3]$ *such that* $q \not| A$*, then* $C_{A,\alpha}(k_q) = \emptyset$.

Proof. Assume that $C_{A,\alpha}(k_q) \neq \emptyset$. For a rational point $(x, y, z) \in C_{A,\alpha}(k_q)$ we have

$$\alpha^2 x^3 + y^3 = \alpha A z^3.$$

Let v_q be the discrete valuation associated with the prime q. Since $v_q(A) = 0$,

$$v_q(\alpha^2 x^3) \equiv 2v_q(\alpha),\ v_q(y^3) \equiv 0,\ v_q(\alpha A z^3) \equiv v_q(\alpha) \bmod 3.$$

It follows from $v_q(\alpha) \not\equiv 0 \bmod 3$ that

$$v_q(\alpha A z^3) = \min\{v_q(\alpha^2 x^3), v_q(y^3)\}.$$

This is a contradiction. □

Lemma 2.2. *Let* α *be a non-zero element in* $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_3]$ *and* $q \nmid 3\alpha A$ *a prime in* $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_3]$*. Then* $C_{A,\alpha}(k_q) \neq \emptyset$.

Proof. The curve

$$C_{A,\alpha} : \alpha x^3 + \alpha^{-1} y^3 = A z^3$$

has good reduction at q and let $\mathscr{C}$ be the smooth projective model of $C_{A,\alpha}$ over $\mathfrak{O}_q$ defined by the above equation, where $\mathfrak{O}_q$ is the ring of integers in k_q. Since the special fiber of $\mathscr{C}$ is a curve of genus 1 over a finite field, it has a rational point (see [2]). By smoothness and Hensel's lemma, $C_{A,\alpha}$ has a k_q-rational point, too. □

Lemma 2.3. *Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_3]$ be a non-zero cube free integer and q a prime in $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_3]$ satisfying $q \| A$ and $q \nmid 3$, where $q^n \| A$ for an integer n means that $q^n | A$ and $q^{n+1} \nmid A$. Then*

$$C_{A,\alpha}(k_q) \neq \emptyset \Longleftrightarrow \begin{cases} \left(\frac{A\alpha^{-1}}{q}\right)_3 = 1 & \text{if } q \| \alpha, \\ \left(\frac{A\alpha q^{-3}}{q}\right)_3 = 1 & \text{if } q^2 \| \alpha, \\ \left(\frac{\alpha}{q}\right)_3 = 1 & \text{if } q \nmid \alpha. \end{cases}$$

Proof. First, we consider the case where $q \| \alpha$. The curve

$$C_{A,\alpha} : \alpha x^3 + \alpha^{-1} y^3 = A z^3$$

is isomorphic to the curve defined by

$$\frac{\alpha^2}{q^2} x^3 + q y^3 = \frac{\alpha A}{q^2} z^3.$$

This equation also defines a regular projective model $\mathscr{C}$ of $C_{A,\alpha}$ over $\mathfrak{O}_q$. Therefore $C_{A,\alpha}$ has a k_q-rational point if and only if $\mathscr{C}$ has a $\mathfrak{O}_q$-valued point, and this is equivalent to that the special fiber of $\mathscr{C}$ has a $\mathbb{F}_q$-rational point on the smooth locus, where $\mathbb{F}_q$ is the residue field of $\mathfrak{O}_q$. Since the special fiber is defined by

$$\frac{\alpha^2}{q^2} x^3 = \frac{\alpha A}{q^2} z^3,$$

we have

$$C_{A,\alpha}(k_q) \neq \emptyset \Longleftrightarrow \frac{A}{\alpha} \in (\mathbb{F}_q^*)^3 \Longleftrightarrow \left(\frac{A\alpha^{-1}}{q}\right)_3 = 1.$$

Second, the case where $q^2 \| \alpha$. The curve $C_{A,\alpha}$ has the regular projective model defined by

$$q \frac{\alpha^2}{q^4} x^3 + y^3 = \frac{\alpha A}{q^3} z^3.$$

Its special fiber is defined by

$$y^3 = \frac{\alpha A}{q^3} z^3,$$

therefore a similar argument shows that

$$C_{A,\alpha}(k_q) \neq \emptyset \Longleftrightarrow \frac{\alpha A}{q^3} \in (\mathbb{F}_q^*)^3 \Longleftrightarrow \left(\frac{A\alpha q^{-3}}{q}\right)_3 = 1.$$

Finally, $q \nmid \alpha$. The equation

$$C_{A,\alpha} : \alpha x^3 + \alpha^{-1} y^3 = A z^3$$

gives a regular proper model, and its special fiber is

$$\alpha x^3 + \alpha^{-1} y^3 = 0.$$

Therefore we have

$$C_{A,\alpha}(k_q) \neq \emptyset \Longleftrightarrow \alpha^2 \in (\mathbb{F}_q^*)^3 \Longleftrightarrow \left(\frac{\alpha^2}{q}\right)_3 = 1 \Longleftrightarrow \left(\frac{\alpha}{q}\right)_3 = 1.$$

□

Lemma 2.4. *Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_3]$ be a non-zero cube free integer and $p \equiv 2 \bmod 3$ a rational prime number satisfying $p \| A$. Then*

$$C_{A,\alpha}(k_p) \neq \emptyset \Longleftrightarrow \left(\frac{\alpha p^{-v_p(\alpha)}}{p}\right)_3 = 1.$$

Proof. Since $p \equiv 2 \bmod 3$, p remains a prime in $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_3]$ and $\mathbb{Z}_p^* \subset (\mathbb{Q}_p^*)^3$. Therefore if $v_p(\alpha) = 1$, then

$$\left(\frac{A\alpha^{-1}}{p}\right)_3 = \left(\frac{p\alpha^{-1}}{p}\right)_3 = \left(\frac{\alpha p^{-1}}{p}\right)_3^{-1}.$$

If $v_p(\alpha) = 2$, then

$$\left(\frac{A\alpha p^{-3}}{p}\right)_3 = \left(\frac{\alpha p^{-2}}{p}\right)_3.$$

Hence the Lemma follows from Lemma 2.3. □

Let $\alpha \in k^*$ be a representative of an element in $k^*/(k^*)^3$. Then we may assume that $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_3]$ is a non-zero cube free integer by multiplication by an element of $(k^*)^3$. Let $A = \prod_{i=1}^r q_i^{n_i}$ be a prime decomposition of A in k, where (q_i) are distinct prime ideals in $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_3]$ and $n_i \geq 1$. The condition $\alpha \in S(A)$ implies that α is of the form

$$\alpha = \zeta_3^m \prod_{i=1}^r q_i^{m_i}, \ m, m_1, \ldots, m_r \in \{0, 1, 2\}$$

by Lemma 2.1. By multiplication by $A \in C(A) \subset S(A)$, we may assume that

$$\alpha = \zeta_3^m \prod_{i=1}^{r-1} q_i^{m_i}.$$

Conversely, if the above α satisfies $C_{A,\alpha}(k_q) \neq \emptyset$ for every prime $q \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_3]$ dividing $3A$, then it follows from Lemma 2.2 that $\alpha \in S(A)$.

In the following we write $\lambda = 1 - \zeta_3$.

Lemma 2.5. *Let p_1, p_2, p_3 be distinct rational primes such that $(p_1, p_2, p_3) \equiv (2, 2, 5)$ or $(5, 5, 2) \bmod 9$. If $A = p_1 p_2 p_3^2$, then $S(A) = \langle A, p_1 p_2^2 \rangle$.*

Proof. By lemma 2.4, the curve $C_{A,\zeta_3^m p_1^{m_1} p_2^{m_2}}$ has a k_{p_1}-rational point if and only if

$$\left(\frac{\zeta_3^m p_2^{m_2}}{p_1}\right)_3 = 1.$$

Since $p_1 \equiv 2 \bmod 3$, we have

$$\left(\frac{\zeta_3^m p_2^{m_2}}{p_1}\right)_3 = \zeta_3^{m(p_1^2-1)/3},$$

and this is equal to 1 if and only if $m = 0$. If $m = 0$, then the same argument shows that $C_{A,p_1^{m_1}p_2^{m_2}}$ has a k_{p_2}-rational point. Since $p_3 \equiv 2 \bmod 3$, the curve $C_{A,p_1^{m_1}p_2^{m_2}}$ is isomorphic to

$$x^3 + y^3 = p_3^2 z^3$$

over k_{p_3}. Hence $C_{A,p_1^{m_1}p_2^{m_2}}$ has a k_{p_3}-rational point.

We claim that $C_{A,p_1p_2^2}(k_\lambda) \neq \emptyset$ and $C_{A,p_1}(k_\lambda) = \emptyset$. It follows from this that $S(A) = \langle A, p_1p_2^2 \rangle$. We note that since these cubic curves are defined over $\mathbb{Q}$, they have a k_λ-rational point if and only if they have a $\mathbb{Q}_3$-rational point.

The curve $C_{A,p_1p_2^2}$ is isomorphic to

$$p_2x^3 + p_1y^3 = p_3^2z^3$$

over $\mathbb{Q}$. Since $p_1 \equiv p_2 \bmod 9$ we see that $p_1/p_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_3^*$ is a cube in $\mathbb{Q}_3$, and the curve $C_{A,p_1p_2^2}$ has a $\mathbb{Q}_3$-rational point.

The curve C_{A,p_1} is isomorphic to

$$x^3 + p_1y^3 = p_2p_3^2z^3.$$

By modulo 9 this equation becomes

$$x^3 + 2y^3 = 5z^3 \text{ (resp. } x^3 + 5y^3 = 2z^3)$$

if $(p_1, p_2, p_3) \equiv (2, 2, 5) \bmod 9$ (resp. $(5, 5, 2) \bmod 9$). It has no nontrivial solutions in $\mathbb{Z}/9\mathbb{Z}$. Hence C_{A,p_1} has no $\mathbb{Q}_3$-rational points. □

Lemma 2.6. *Let p_1, p_2, p_3 be distinct rational primes such that $(p_1, p_2, p_3) \equiv (2, 2, 2)$ or $(5, 5, 5) \bmod 9$. If $A = p_1^2p_2^2p_3^2$, then $S(A) = \langle A, p_1p_2^2 \rangle$.*

Proof. Since $p_3 \equiv 2, 5 \bmod 9$, the curve $C_{A,\zeta_3p_1^{n_1}p_2^{n_2}}$ is isomorphic to the curve

$$C : \zeta_3x^3 + \zeta_3^2y^3 = p_3^2z^3$$

over k_{p_3}. If C has a k_{p_3}-rational point, then we see that ζ_3 is a cube in k_{p_3}. On the other hand, by the definition of the cubic residue symbol, we have

$$\left(\frac{\zeta_3}{p_3}\right)_3 = \zeta_3^{\frac{p_3^2-1}{3}} \neq 1.$$

This is a contradiction, therefore we have $\zeta_3p_1^{n_1}p_2^{n_2} \notin S(A)$.

The curve $C_{A,p_1p_2^2}$ is isomorphic to the curve

$$p_2x^3 + p_1y^3 = p_1p_2p_3^2z^3.$$

Since $\mathbb{Z}_{p_i}^* \subset (\mathbb{Q}_{p_i}^*)^3$, we see that $C_{A,p_1p_2^2}(k_{p_i}) \neq \emptyset$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$. Moreover $C_{A,p_1p_2^2}$ has a $\mathbb{Q}_3$-rational point since $p_1/p_2 \in (\mathbb{Q}_3^*)^3$. Hence we have $p_1p_2^2 \in S(A)$.

The curve C_{A,p_1} is isomorphic to the curve

$$p_1^2x^3 + y^3 = p_2^2p_3^2z^3.$$

By modulo 9, this equation becomes

$$4x^3 + y^3 = 7z^3 \text{ (resp. } 7x^3 + y^3 = 4z^3)$$

if $(p_1, p_2, p_3) \equiv (2, 2, 2) \bmod 9$ (resp. $(5, 5, 5) \bmod 9$). It has no nontrivial solutions in $\mathbb{Z}/9\mathbb{Z}$. Therefore C_{A,p_1} has no $\mathbb{Q}_3$-rational points. This completes the proof. □

Remark 2.7. We give another proof of Lemma 2.6. To begin with, we introduce some notations. For a cube free integer $A \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0, \pm 1\}$, we define

$$s(A) = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_3} S(A) - 1.$$

Let m be the number of distinct prime factors $\equiv 2 \bmod 3$ of A. Then we write

$$s_0(A) = \begin{cases} m & \text{if } A \equiv \pm 3 \bmod 9, \\ m-1 & \text{if } A \equiv 0, \pm 2, \pm 4 \bmod 9, \\ m-2 & \text{if } A \equiv \pm 1 \bmod 9. \end{cases}$$

Heath-Brown pointed out in [5, p. 247] that

$$s(A) \equiv s_0(A) \bmod 2.$$

Indeed, Stephens [8] showed that $R(A) \equiv s(A) \bmod 2$ and determined the root number, where $R(A)$ is the order of vanishing of the L-function $L(E_A/\mathbb{Q}\,; s)$ at the point $s = 1$. The precise formula is

$$(-1)^{R(A)} = -w_3 \prod_{p \neq 3} w_p,$$

where

$$w_3 = -1 \text{ if } A \equiv \pm 1, \pm 3 \bmod 9,\ w_3 = 1 \text{ otherwise},$$
$$w_p = -1 \text{ if } p | A, p \equiv 2 \bmod 3,\ w_p = 1 \text{ otherwise}.$$

In order to show Lemma 2.6, we first check $p_1 p_2^2 \in S(A)$ and $p_1 \notin S(A)$ in the same way as the proof of Lemma 2.6. Since $S(A)$ is a subgroup of the group generated by A, ζ_3, p_1, p_2, and contains A and $p_1 p_2^2$, we have $1 \leq s(A) \leq 3$. On the other hand, we have $s_0(A) = 3 - 2 = 1$ and $p_1 \notin S(A)$. Therefore we can conclude that $s(A) = 1$ and $S(A) = \langle A, p_1 p_2^2 \rangle$.

Now we are ready to prove our main theorem.

Theorem 2.8. *Let p_1, p_2, p_3 be rational primes such that $p_1, p_2, p_3 \equiv 2, 5 \bmod 9$, and*

$$V : x_1^3 + p_1 p_2 x_2^3 + p_2 p_3 x_3^3 + p_3 p_1 x_4^3 = 0$$

a cubic surface over $\mathbb{Q}$. Assume that the Tate-Shafarevich group of any elliptic curve over $\mathbb{Q}$ is finite. Then $V(\mathbb{Q}) \neq \emptyset$.

Proof. We may assume that p_1, p_2, p_3 are distinct. If $(p_1, p_2, p_3) \equiv (2, 2, 5)$ or $(5, 5, 2) \bmod 9$, then the curve

$$C_{p_1 p_2 p_3^2, p_1 p_2^2} \cong \{p_2 p_3 x^3 + p_3 p_1 y^3 = z^3\}$$

has a k-rational point by Lemma 1.1 and Lemma 2.5. If $(p_1, p_2, p_3) \equiv (2, 2, 2)$ or $(5, 5, 5) \bmod 9$, then the curve

$$C_{p_1^2 p_2^2 p_3^2, p_1 p_2^2} \cong \{p_2 p_3 x^3 + p_3 p_1 y^3 = p_1 p_2 z^3\}$$

has a k-rational point by Lemma 1.1 and 2.6. In either case the cubic surface V has a k-rational point, therefore V has a $\mathbb{Q}$-rational point. □

Remark 2.9. We do not need to assume the finiteness of the Tate-Shafarevich groups of all elliptic curves. Indeed, it is sufficient to assume that $Ш(E_{p_1p_2p_3^2}/\mathbb{Q})$ (resp. $Ш(E_{p_1^2p_2^2p_3^2}/\mathbb{Q})$) is finite if $(p_1, p_2, p_3) \equiv (2, 2, 5)$ or $(5, 5, 2)$ mod 9 (resp. $(2, 2, 2)$ or $(5, 5, 5)$ mod 9).

3. Corollary of Swinnerton-Dyer's theorem

Let V be the smooth cubic surface over $\mathbb{Q}$ defined by

$$a_1x_1^3 + a_2x_2^3 = a_3x_3^3 + a_4x_4^3, \quad a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4 \in \mathbb{Q}^*.$$

Without loss of generality we can assume that a_i are non-zero cube free integers and that for any prime p the 4-tuple $(v_p(a_1), v_p(a_2), v_p(a_3), v_p(a_4))$ is one of the following 4-tuples up to permutation;

$$(0, 0, 0, 0), (0, 0, 0, 1), (0, 0, 0, 2), (0, 0, 1, 1), (0, 0, 1, 2).$$

We denote by $V(\mathbb{A}_\mathbb{Q})$ the set of adelic points of V. Since V is projective, we have

$$V(\mathbb{A}_\mathbb{Q}) = \prod_v V(\mathbb{Q}_v),$$

where v runs over all places of $\mathbb{Q}$.

The following theorem was shown by Swinnerton-Dyer.

Theorem 3.1 ([9, Theorem 1])**.** *Assume that the Tate-Shafarevich group of any elliptic curve defined by*

$$x^3 + y^3 = Az^3$$

over any quadratic field is finite. If $V(\mathbb{A}_\mathbb{Q}) \neq \emptyset$, then each of the following three criteria is sufficient for $V(\mathbb{Q}) \neq \emptyset$.

- *There are rational primes p_1, p_3 not dividing 3 such that $p_1|a_1, p_1 \nmid a_2a_3a_4$, $p_3|a_3, p_3 \nmid a_1a_2a_4$.*
- *There is a rational prime p not dividing 3 such that $p|a_1, p \nmid a_2a_3a_4$, and a_2, a_3, a_4 are not all in the same coset of $(\mathbb{Q}_p^*)^3$.*
- *There is a rational prime p not dividing 3 such that exactly two of the a_i are divided by p, and V is not birationally equivalent to a plane over $\mathbb{Q}_p$.*

Note that $V(\mathbb{A}_\mathbb{Q}) \neq \emptyset$ is equivalent to the following condition.

Condition 3.2. *For every rational prime p there exists C_p in $\mathbb{Q}_p^*$ such that each of the two curves*

$$a_1x_1^3 + a_2x_2^3 = C_px_0^3, \quad a_3x_3^3 + a_4x_4^3 = C_px_0^3 \tag{2}$$

has a $\mathbb{Q}_p$-rational point.

Indeed, if the set $V(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ of $\mathbb{Q}_p$-rational points on V is not empty, then it is dense in V.

Theorem 3.1 is deduced from the following theorem.

Theorem 3.3 ([9, Theorem 3])**.** *Assume that the Tate-Shafarevich group of any elliptic curve*

$$x^3 + y^3 = Az^3$$

over any quadratic field is finite. If we can choose the C_p in Condition 3.2 so that for some primes p_1, p_3 (which may be the same) the curve

$$a_3^2x_1^3 + a_4^2x_2^3 = a_1a_2a_3a_4C_{p_1}x_0^3 \tag{3}$$

has no $\mathbb{Q}_{p_1}$-rational points, and the curve

$$a_1^2x_3^3 + a_2^2x_4^3 = a_1a_2a_3a_4C_{p_3}x_0^3 \tag{4}$$

has no $\mathbb{Q}_{p_3}$-rational points, then $V(\mathbb{Q}) \neq \emptyset$.

Remark 3.4. In fact, Swinnerton-Dyer [9] proved the above theorems in the case where, instead of $\mathbb{Q}$, the base field is any number field not containing the primitive cube roots of unity.

We give an analog of Theorem 3.1, which deals with the prime 3.

Theorem 3.5. *Assume that the Tate-Shafarevich group of any elliptic curve defined by*

$$x^3 + y^3 = Az^3$$

over any quadratic field is finite. If $V(\mathbb{A}_\mathbb{Q}) \neq \emptyset$, then each of the following criteria is sufficient for $V(\mathbb{Q}) \neq \emptyset$.

i) *$3|a_1$, $3 \nmid a_2a_3a_4$, and at least two of a_2, a_3, a_4 are in the same coset of $(\mathbb{Q}_3^*)^3$. Moreover there is a rational prime p not dividing 3 such that $p|a_3$ and $p \nmid a_1a_2a_4$.*

ii) *$3\|a_1$, $3 \nmid a_2a_3a_4$, and a_2, a_3, a_4 are not all in the same coset of $(\mathbb{Q}_3^*)^3$. Moreover there is a rational prime p not dividing 3 such that $p|a_1$ and $p \nmid a_2a_3a_4$.*

iii) *$3^2\|a_1$, $3 \nmid a_2a_3a_4$, and exactly two of a_2, a_3, a_4 are in the same coset of $(\mathbb{Q}_3^*)^3$.*

iv) *$3\|a_1$, $3\|a_3$, $3 \nmid a_2a_4$, and V is not birationally equivalent to a plane over $\mathbb{Q}_3$. Furthermore, $a_1/a_3 \in (\mathbb{Q}_3^*)^3$.*

v) *$3^2\|a_1$, $3\|a_3$, $3 \nmid a_2a_4$, and V is not birationally equivalent to a plane over $\mathbb{Q}_3$. Moreover there is a rational prime p such that p divides exactly one of a_1, a_2, and $p \nmid a_3a_4$.*

vi) *$3 \nmid a_1a_2a_3a_4$ and V is not birationally equivalent to a plane over $\mathbb{Q}_3$. Then we may assume that $a_1/a_2, a_2/a_3 \in (\mathbb{Q}_3^*)^3$, $a_3/a_4 \notin (\mathbb{Q}_3^*)^3$. Moreover there is a rational prime p such that $p|a_1$ and $p \nmid a_2a_3a_4$.*

Proof. What we have to do is to find C_{p_1} and C_{p_3} satisfying the condition of Theorem 3.3.

We first remark when the smooth cubic curve

$$C : ax^3 + by^3 = cz^3$$

over $\mathbb{Q}_3$ has a $\mathbb{Q}_3$-rational point. The group $\mathbb{Q}_3^*/(\mathbb{Q}_3^*)^3$ is generated by the images of 3 and 2. Thus C is isomorphic over $\mathbb{Q}_3$ to one of the following curves

$$3^kx^3 + 2^iy^3 = 2^jz^3, \quad x^3 + 3b'y^3 = 9c'z^3,$$

where $i, j, k \in \{0, 1, 2\}$, and b', c' are 3-adic units. The latter has no $\mathbb{Q}_3$-rational points. In the former case it has a $\mathbb{Q}_3$-rational point if and only if

$$k = 0, \{i, j\} \neq \{1, 2\}, \text{ or } k = 1, \text{ or } k = 2, i = j.$$

i) Put $p_1 = p$ and $C_p = a_4$. Then each of the two curves (2)

$$a_1x_1^3 + a_2x_2^3 = a_4x_0^3, \quad a_3x_3^3 + a_4x_4^3 = a_4x_0^3$$

has a $\mathbb{Q}_p$-rational point. Indeed, since $p \nmid a_1a_2a_4$, the first curve does in the same way as Lemma 2.2. The second curve has a point $(x_3, x_4, x_0) = (0, 1, 1)$. The curve (3) $a_3^2x_1^3 + a_4^2x_2^3 = a_1a_2a_3a_4^2x_0^3$ has no $\mathbb{Q}_p$-rational points. This follows from $p|a_3$ and the same argument as in the proof of Lemma 2.1

Put $p_3 = 3$ and $C_3 = a_2$. Then each of the two curves (2)

$$a_1x_1^3 + a_2x_2^3 = a_2x_0^3, \quad a_3x_3^3 + a_4x_4^3 = a_2x_0^3$$

has a $\mathbb{Q}_3$-rational point. The first curve has the point $(x_1, x_2, x_0) = (0, 1, 1)$. The second curve has a $\mathbb{Q}_3$-rational point since at least two of a_2, a_3, a_4 are in the same coset of $(\mathbb{Q}_3^*)^3$. Moreover, it follows from $3|a_1$ and $3 \nmid a_2a_3a_4$ that the curve (4) $a_1^2x_3^3 + a_2^2x_4^3 = a_1a_2^2a_3a_4x_0^3$ has no $\mathbb{Q}_3$-rational points.

ii) By permuting indexes if necessary, we may assume that $a_3/a_4 \notin (\mathbb{Q}_3^*)^3$. Put $p_1 = 3$ and $C_3 = a_1$. Then each of the two curves (2)

$$a_1x_1^3 + a_2x_2^3 = a_1x_0^3, \quad a_3x_3^3 + a_4x_4^3 = a_1x_0^3$$

has a $\mathbb{Q}_3$-rational point since $3\|a_1$ and $3 \nmid a_3a_4$. The curve (3) $a_3^2x_1^3 + a_4^2x_2^3 = a_1^2a_2a_3a_4x_0^3$ does not have a $\mathbb{Q}_3$-rational point. Indeed, if it does, then $a_3/a_4 \in (\mathbb{Q}_3^*)^3$. This is a contradiction.

Put $v_3 = p$ and $C_p = a_2$. Then each of the two curves (2)

$$a_1x_1^3 + a_2x_2^3 = a_2x_0^3, \quad a_3x_3^3 + a_4x_4^3 = a_2x_0^3$$

has a $\mathbb{Q}_p$-rational point since $p \nmid a_2a_3a_4$. The curve (4) $a_1^2x_3^3 + a_2^2x_4^3 = a_1a_2^2a_3a_4x_0^3$ has no $\mathbb{Q}_p$-rational points since $p|a_1$ and $p \nmid a_2a_3a_4$.

iii) By permuting the indexes if necessary, we may assume that $a_2/a_3 \in (\mathbb{Q}_3^*)^3$ and $a_3/a_4 \notin (\mathbb{Q}_3^*)^3$. Put $p_1 = p_3 = 3$ and $C_3 = a_2$. Each of the two curves (2)

$$a_1x_1^3 + a_2x_2^3 = a_2x_0^3, \quad a_3x_3^3 + a_4x_4^3 = a_2x_0^3$$

has a $\mathbb{Q}_3$-rational point. That is obvious for the first curve. Since $a_2/a_3 \in (\mathbb{Q}_3^*)^3$, the second curve is isomorphic to $x_3^3 + (a_4/a_3)x_4^4 = x_0^3$ over $\mathbb{Q}_3$. This has the point $(x_3, x_4, x_0) = (1, 0, 1)$. The curves (3), (4)

$$a_3^2x_1^3 + a_4^2x_2^3 = a_1a_2^2a_3a_4x_0^3, \quad a_1^2x_3^3 + a_2^2x_4^3 = a_1a_2^2a_3a_4x_0^3$$

have no $\mathbb{Q}_3$-rational points, because $3^2\|a_1$, $3 \nmid a_2a_3a_4$, and $a_3/a_4 \notin (\mathbb{Q}_3^*)^3$.

iv) We recall that V is birationally equivalent to a plane over $\mathbb{Q}_3$ if and only if one of the ratios $a_1a_2/a_3a_4, a_1a_3/a_2a_4, a_1a_4/a_2a_3$ is in $(\mathbb{Q}_3^*)^3$ [4, Lemme 8]. Therefore in this case we have $a_2/a_4 \notin (\mathbb{Q}_3^*)^3$. Put $p_1 = p_3 = 3$ and $C_3 = a_1$, then both of the two curves (2)

$$a_1x_1^3 + a_2x_2^3 = a_1x_0^3, \quad a_3x_3^3 + a_4x_4^3 = a_1x_0^3$$

have a $\mathbb{Q}_3$-rational point since $a_1/a_3 \in (\mathbb{Q}_3^*)^3$. Neither of the curves (3) and (4)

$$a_3^2x_1^3 + a_4^2x_2^3 = a_1^2a_2a_3a_4x_0^3, \quad a_1^2x_3^3 + a_2^2x_4^3 = a_1^2a_2a_3a_4x_0^3$$

has a $\mathbb{Q}_3$-rational point. Indeed, since $3\|a_1$, $3\|a_3$, and $a_1/a_3 \in (\mathbb{Q}_3^*)^3$, each of the curves (3) and (4) has a $\mathbb{Q}_3$-rational point if and only if $a_2/a_4 \in (\mathbb{Q}_3^*)^3$.

v) Put $p_1 = 3$ and $C_3 = a_2$. Then the two curves (2)

$$a_1x_1^3 + a_2x_2^3 = a_2x_0^3, \quad a_3x_3^3 + a_4x_4^3 = a_2x_0^3$$

have a $\mathbb{Q}_3$-rational point since $3\|a_3, 3 \nmid a_2a_4$. The curve (3) $a_3^2x_1^3 + a_4^2x_2^3 = a_1a_2^2a_3a_4x_0^3$ has no $\mathbb{Q}_3$-rational points. To see this, note that the curve (3) has a $\mathbb{Q}_3$-rational point if and only if $a_1a_2^2a_3a_4/a_4^2 \in (\mathbb{Q}_3^*)^3$, and this is equivalent to $a_1a_3/a_2a_4 \in (\mathbb{Q}_3^*)^3$. However, this contradicts the assumption that V is birationally equivalent to a plane over $\mathbb{Q}_3$ by [4, Lemme 8].

Put $p_3 = p$ and

$$C_p = \begin{cases} a_2 & \text{if } p|a_1, \\ a_1 & \text{if } p|a_2. \end{cases}$$

Then the two curves (2)

$$a_1x_1^3 + a_2x_2^3 = C_px_0^3, \quad a_3x_3^3 + a_4x_4^3 = C_px_0^3$$

have a $\mathbb{Q}_p$-rational point. The first curve does since C_p is equal to a_1 or a_2. The second also does, because $p \nmid a_3a_4C_p$. Moreover the curve (4)

$$a_1^2x_3^3 + a_2^2x_4^3 = a_1a_2a_3a_4C_px_0^3$$

has no $\mathbb{Q}_p$-rational points since the triple $(v_p(a_1^2), v_p(a_2^2), v_p(a_1a_2a_3a_4C_p))$ is congruent to $(0, 1, 2)$ modulo 3 up to permutation.

vi)We may assume that the 4-tuple (a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4) is congruent to

$$(2^i, 2^i, 2^j, 2^k)$$

modulo $(\mathbb{Q}_3^*)^3$, where $(i, j, k) = (0, 0, 0), (0, 0, 1), (0, 0, 2), (0, 1, 1), (0, 1, 2)$. Since V is not birationally equivalent to a plane over $\mathbb{Q}_3$, we have $(i, j, k) = (0, 0, 1), (0, 0, 2)$ by [4, Lemme 8]. Put $p_1 = 3$ and $C_3 = a_1$. Then the two curves (2)

$$a_1x_1^3 + a_2x_2^3 = a_1x_0^3, \quad a_3x_3^3 + a_4x_4^3 = a_1x_0^3$$

have a $\mathbb{Q}_3$-rational point since $a_1/a_3 \in (\mathbb{Q}_3^*)^3$. The curve (3) $a_3^2x_1^3 + a_4^2x_2^3 = a_1^2a_2a_3a_4x_0^3$ has no $\mathbb{Q}_3$-rational points since $(a_3^2, a_4^2, a_1^2a_2a_3a_4)$ is congruent to $(2^0, 2^1, 2^2)$ modulo $(\mathbb{Q}_3^*)^3$ up to permutation.

Put $p_3 = p$ and $C_p = a_2$. Each of the two curves (2)

$$a_1x_1^3 + a_2x_2^3 = a_2x_0^3, \quad a_3x_3^3 + a_4x_4^3 = a_2x_0^3$$

has a $\mathbb{Q}_p$-rational point since $p \nmid a_2a_3a_4$. Moreover the curve (4) $a_1^2x_3^3 + a_2^2x_4^3 = a_1a_2^2a_3a_4x_0^3$ has no $\mathbb{Q}_p$-rational points since $p|a_1$. This completes the proof. □

Remark 3.6. We cannot apply Theorem 3.1 or Theorem 3.3 (hence Theorem 3.5) to Theorem 2.8. Indeed, in Theorem 2.8, we can easily see that there does not exist the C_p satisfying the condition of Theorem 3.3.

Acknowledgment. I would like to thank my supervisor, Professor Masaki Hanamura, for helpful comments and warm encouragement. This work was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number $25 \cdot 1135$.

References

[1] C. L. Basile and T. A. Fisher, *Diagonal cubic equations in four variables with prime coefficients*, Rational points on algebraic varieties, Progr. Math., vol. 199, Birkhäuser, Basel, 2001, pp. 1–12.

[2] J. W. S. Cassels, *Lectures on elliptic curves*, London Mathematical Society Student Texts, vol. 24, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1991.

[3] J. W. S. Cassels and M. J. T. Guy, *On the Hasse principle for cubic surfaces*, Mathematika **13** (1966), 111–120.

[4] J.-L. Colliot-Thélène, D. Kanevsky, and J.-J. Sansuc, *Arithmétique des surfaces cubiques diagonales*, Diophantine approximation and transcendence theory (Bonn, 1985), Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1290, Springer, Berlin, 1987, pp. 1–108 (French).

[5] D. R. Heath-Brown, *The solubility of diagonal cubic Diophantine equations*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **79** (1999), no. 2, 241–259.

[6] E. S. Selmer, *Sufficient congruence conditions for the existence of rational points on certain cubic surfaces*, Math. Scand. **1** (1953), 113–119.

[7] A. Skorobogatov, *Torsors and rational points*, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, vol. 144, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2001.

[8] N. M. Stephens, Ph. D. thesis, Manchester (1965).

[9] P. Swinnerton-Dyer, *The solubility of diagonal cubic surfaces*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **34** (2001), no. 6, 891–912 (English, with English and French summaries).

Mathematical Institute, Tohoku University, Sendai, Miyagi, 980-8578, Japan
E-mail address: sb0m17@math.tohoku.ac.jp